	GPS sampling resolution			
Year	0.5h	1h	2h	3h
2018	0	63.0	29.6	7.4
2019	0	100	0	0
2020	10.5	89.5	0	0
2021	76.5	23.5	0	0
All	14.7	75.5	7.8	2.0

Table S1. Percentage of deployments for each time sampling resolution in each year and for all years grouped together.

Table S2. Summary of sample sizes of Monteiro's storm-petrels tracked from Praia Islet, Graciosa Island, Azores from 2018–2021 and respective distance covered and trip duration.

Year	Breeding stage	Sex	N deploy- ments (N recoveries)	N tracks (N birds) in analyses	Mean maximum trip dist. (km ± S.E.; range)	Mean total distance covered (km ± S.E.; range)	Mean trip dur. (days ± S.E.; range)
2018	Incubation	Male	14 (12)	11 (11)	383.76 (± 68.66; 109.53–820.64)	1058.35 (± 141.81; 388.07–1796.71)	3.95 (± 0.42; 1.79–6.54)
		Female	8 (6)	4 (4)	200.42 (± 34.05; 106.65–354.45)	597.05 (± 131.19; 271.56–1131.71)	2.32 (± 0.43; 0.88–3.83)
	Chick- rearing	Male	5 (4)	4 (4)	146.80 (± 34.63; 58.75–356.09)	431.27 (± 106.24; 139.42–865.56)	1.55 (± 0.36; 0.75–3.71)
		Female	8 (8)	5 (5)	200.12 (± 56.61; 49.97–610.95)	589.52 (± 139.15; 172.32–1615.77)	2.34 (± 0.41; 0.71–4.75)
2019	Incubation	Male	13 (13)	12 (12)	$\begin{array}{l} 327.10 (\pm \ 60.00; \\ 43.96 - 790.25) \end{array}$	1021.18 (± 163.05; 102.36–2099.27)	3.54 (± 0.40; 0.79–5.17)
		Female	13 (13)	12 (12)	373.25 (± 73.66; 34.47–963.82)	1004 (± 165.56; 114.40–2039.81)	3.28 (± 0.43; 0.67–5.92)
	Chick- rearing	Male	6 (6)	6 (5)	148.67 (± 19.32; 54.73–345.53)	446.70 (± 66.81; 123.11–1141.30)	2.42 (± 0.53; 0.67–9.67)
		Female	7 (7)	7 (7)	107.57 (± 10.17; 46.23–173.84)	362.47 (± 53.53; 124.64–859.52)	2.51 (± 0.67; 0.63–8.5)
2020	Incubation	Male	8 (8)	8 (8)	297.65 (± 53.26; 94.93–612.26)	944.34 (± 185.67; 204.29–1747.42)	5.17 (± 2.39; 0.71–10.9)
		Female	10 (10)	9 (9)	427.55 (± 82.73; 105.55–868.78)	1207.36 (± 197.96; 221.02–2195.65)	3.98 (± 0.45; 0.88–5.83)
	Chick- rearing	Female	2 (2)	2 (2)	491.73 (± 60.14; 431.59–551.87)	1137.62 (± 96.35; 1041.27–1233.96)	2.85 (± 0.06; 2.79–2.92)
2021	Incubation	Male	6 (6)	6 (6)	551.72 (± 138.72; 198.29–1154.35)	1380.01 (± 288.49; 501.13–2551.42)	4.51 (± 0.52; 2.79–6.88)
		Female	8 (8)	7 (7)	603.78 (± 105.44; 242.97–952.21)	1697.17 (± 163.69; 1053.84–2209.57)	4.74 (± 0.17; 3.83–5.25)

	-		-
Pairwise	Maximum	Total	Trip
comparison	distance	distance	duration
2019:2018	0.97 ± 1.16 z = -0.20 p = 1.000	$\begin{array}{l} 1.75 \pm 1.34 \\ z = 0.52 \\ p = 0.951 \end{array}$	1.22 ± 1.15 z = 1.41 p = 0.492
2020:2018	$\begin{array}{l} 1.29 \pm 1.21 \\ z = 1.31 \\ p = 0.542 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.31 \pm 1.23 \\ z = 1.29 \\ p = 0.562 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.22 \pm 1.21 \\ z = 0.80 \\ p = 0.853 \end{array}$
2021:2018	$\begin{array}{l} 1.70 \pm 1.34 \\ z = 1.83 \\ p = 0.250 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.69 \pm 1.32 \\ z = 1.83 \\ p = 0.220 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.49 \pm 1.19 \\ z = 2.31 \\ p = 0.095 \end{array}$
2020:2019	$\begin{array}{c} 1.33 \pm 1.19 \\ z = 1.56 \\ p = 0.382 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.33 \pm 1.19 \\ z = 1.56 \\ p = 0.382 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.95 \pm 1.19 \\ z = -0.28 \\ p = 0.993 \end{array}$
2021:2019	$\begin{array}{l} 1.75 \pm 1.34 \\ z = 2.11 \\ p = 0.142 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.75 \pm 1.34 \\ z = 2.11 \\ p = 0.142 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.22 \pm 1.17 \\ z = 1.28 \\ p = 0.575 \end{array}$
2021:2020	$\begin{array}{l} 1.32 \pm 1.29 \\ z = 1.09 \\ p = 0.681 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.32 \pm 1.29 \\ z = 1.09 \\ p = 0.681 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.28 \pm 1.21 \\ z = 1.30 \\ p = 0.559 \end{array}$

Table S3. Results of the post hoc Tukey tests with simple step adjusted p values for a multiple comparison of GEE estimates to account for differences in maximum distance, total distance and trip duration between study years.

Table S4. Overlap between kernel utilisation distributions (KUDs) between pairs of years, expressed as a % of the KUD area of each year.

Year 1	Year 2	Overlap as % of year 1 KUD	
Incubation		your riced	
2018	2019	78 %	
2018	2020	78 %	
2018	2021	88 %	
2019	2018	77 %	
2019	2020	62 %	
2019	2021	61 %	
2020	2018	77 %	
2020	2019	62 %	
2020	2021	92 %	
2021	2018	66 %	
2021	2019	47 %	
2021	2020	71 %	
Mean overlap		$71.6 \pm 3.7 \%$	
Chick-rearing			
2018	2019	34 %	
2019	2018	100 %	
Mean overlap		67 ± 33 %	



Fig. S1. (A–D) Foraging locations of Monteiro's storm-petrels (grey) in each of the study years (2018-2021) shown with mean chlorophyll *a* concentration; (E–H) distribution of chlorophyll *a* concentrations associated with foraging locations (purple) and random pseudo-absence locations (grey) in each year. Red diamond indicates the study colony. The grey circle represents the potential foraging range and has a radius of 1154 km (max foraging range) around the center of the colony.



Fig. S2. (A–D) Foraging locations of Monteiro's storm-petrels (grey) in each of the study years (2018–2021) shown with mean sea surface temperature (SST) concentrations; (E–H) distribution of SST concentrations associated with foraging locations (purple) and random pseudo-absence locations (grey) in each year. Red diamond indicates the study colony. The grey circle represents the potential foraging range and has a radius of 1154 km (max foraging range) around the center of the colony.