

## Text S1. A description of the Ecological Assessment for the Sustainable Impacts of Fisheries (EASI-Fish) approach

Similar to other ecological risk assessment approaches, the Ecological Assessment for the Sustainable Impacts of Fisheries (EASI-Fish) approach is comprised of separate susceptibility (Table 1) and productivity (Table 2) components. The susceptibility component in EASI-Fish is used to approximate the instantaneous fishing mortality rate ( $F$ ) that is compared to biological reference points (BRPs) used in the productivity component, specifically length-structured yield and biomass per-recruit models.

### 1.1 Estimating susceptibility of the eastern Pacific leatherback turtle stock to pelagic fisheries

EASI-Fish estimates susceptibility ( $S$ ) by estimating the proportion of a length class ( $j$ )—with all reference to turtle lengths being curved carapace length (CCL)—of the EP leatherback turtle stock that is susceptible to incurring mortality by fishery  $x$  ( $S_{xj}$ ) in a given year, and is represented as:

$$S_{xj} = \frac{G_x}{G} (D_x A_{xj} N_{xj} C_{xj} P_{xj}) \quad (\text{Eq. S1})$$

where  $G$  is the total number of grid cells occupied by leatherback turtles and  $G_x$  is the number of occupied grid cells containing at least one unit of fishing effort by fishery  $x$  during 2019. In this study,  $G$  was estimated from the SDM described in Lopez et al. (2024) using three probability-of-occupancy ( $\psi$ ) threshold values (0.1, 0.2, and 0.3) to each  $0.5^\circ$  cell (see Fig 1 showing  $\psi = 0.2$ ), based on statistically determined thresholds and verification by experts. Given the critically endangered status of EP leatherbacks, we selected relatively low  $\psi$  values to conservatively include areas where leatherbacks are likely to occur, even if in relatively low numbers and for limited periods of time. This decision was critical to ensuring that EASI-Fish would be inclusive rather than exclusive—*i.e.*, we erred on the side of inclusion versus exclusion—in its calculations of fishery impacts on leatherbacks throughout their distribution and across fisheries known to interact with the species.

Fishing effort for each fishery in 2019 was overlaid on the stock map to calculate  $G_x$ . The percentage overlap of each fishery was calculated by dividing  $G_x$  by  $G$ . Effort data for purse-seine vessels and artisanal effort were resolved at  $0.5^\circ$  as described above. However, data for the industrial longline fleet were available at  $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$  and  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution, so it was conservatively assumed that there was at least one unit of effort in each of these larger grid cells that contained effort.

The first four parameters in the parentheses of Equation 1 ( $D_x$ ,  $A_{xj}$ ,  $N_{xj}$ , and  $C_{xj}$ ) comprise what is generically regarded as “selectivity” in conventional stock assessments, which combines, often implicitly, “population availability” (the relative probability that a turtle of length class  $j$  is located in the area and time where the fishery is operating) and “contact selectivity” (the relative probability that a turtle of length class  $j$  will be retained once it comes in contact with the gear) (Millar & Fryer 1999). Because leatherback turtle selectivity curves were not available for each fishery, it was considered important to disaggregate selectivity components as far as practicable as described hereafter.

Fishing season duration ( $D_x$ ) is the proportion of the year that the population is available to fishery  $x$ , expressed as the number of fishing days divided by 365. Between 2018 and 2020 in the EPO, IATTC Resolution C-17-02 mandated an annual 72-day closure for purse-seine vessels of Class 4–6 (>182 mt carrying capacity), including a 30-day closure of the area known as the “corralito” ( $4^\circ\text{N}$ – $5^\circ\text{S}$ ,  $96^\circ$ – $110^\circ\text{W}$ ).

Seasonal availability ( $A_{xj}$ ) is the proportion of length class  $j$  that is available to capture by fishery  $x$ , given that some species undertake extensive intra-annual migrations outside the boundaries of the fishery, where they are unavailable for fishery interactions. Given that electronic tagging studies of

leatherback turtles in the EPO indicate wide-ranging movements throughout the year (Shillinger et al. 2008, Schick et al. 2013), value of 1.0 was used for length class  $j$  in fishery  $x$ .

Encounterability ( $N_x$ ) is the proportion of length class  $j$  that may potentially encounter the gear used by fishery  $x$  based on the species' distribution in the water column relative to the normal fishing depth range of the gear. Minimum (0 m), average maximum (~200 m), and overall average (~50 m) dive depths of leatherback turtles were defined using the results from electronic tagging studies (Shillinger et al. 2011). The effective fishing depth range for each fishery in the EPO was defined as:

- 0–200 m for purse-seine vessels Class 6 (Hall & Roman 2013),
- 0–120 m for purse-seine vessels Classes 1–5,
- 0–300 m for longlines, which covers the depth range of both ‘shallow’ and ‘deep’ sets (see Griffiths et al. 2017),
- 0–100 m for surface-set longlines set by the artisanal fishery, which covers the depth range to the deepest hook of both shallow ‘dorado’ sets and deeper ‘tuna/billfishes/shark’ sets (see Andraka et al. 2013),
- 0–100 m for surface-set gillnets set by the artisanal fishery that typically target sharks (Ayala et al. 2008).

Therefore, given the nearly complete overlap between fishing depth ranges and leatherback dive depth range, a value of 1 was used for length class  $j$  after the length of first capture (see below) in fishery  $x$ .

For the egg collection “fishery” that operates on land, fishing depth is irrelevant and so a different, and a more precise, estimate of encounterability was used. Leatherback turtle nesting locations in Mexico, Central America, and South America have been comprehensively mapped by the Laúd OPO Network, SWOT, and IAC. Collection of leatherback turtle eggs has been estimated to occur in 1% and 4% of these nests in Costa Rica (Santidrián Tomillo et al. 2008) and Mexico (Sarti Martínez et al. 2007), respectively (Laúd OPO Network 2020). Therefore, a precautionary approach was taken by assuming that the egg collection fishery encounters 4% of all nests at documented nesting sites in the southeastern EPO.

Contact selectivity ( $C_{xj}$ ) describes the proportion of length class  $j$  that is retained once it encounters the gear used by fishery  $x$ . In the absence of reliable gear selectivity curves for leatherback turtles, knife-edge selectivity ( $C_{xj} = 1.0$ ) was assumed from 90 cm (Swimmer et al. 2011). Smaller leatherbacks have been documented (e.g., Swimmer et al. 2011; Unpublished IATTC observer data), but these are exceptional records. Estimated reductions in bycatch rates from published research (e.g., Swimmer et al. 2017, Allman et al. 2021) and the workgroup’s expert assessment afforded by CMMs such as large circle hooks, finfish bait, and gillnet illumination were applied to this contact selectivity term (Table 3), which is detailed further in Section 2.7.1.

IATTC Resolution C-19-04 mandates the release of sea turtles in all fisheries. Therefore, fishing mortality would be overestimated unless the component of the catch that survives mandatory release is accounted for. This is introduced in the model as post-capture mortality (PCM) ( $P_{xj}$ )—incorporating two separate components—the proportion of length class  $j$  that is caught by fishery  $x$  and 1) dies before or upon arrival at the vessel (*i.e.*, “at-vessel mortality”) or 2) dies soon after release (“post-release mortality”). PCM was highest for the egg collection fishery ( $P_{xj} = 1.0$ ) since this “fishery” intentionally harvests eggs for human consumption. In the absence of reliable data relating to PCM in the longline fishery and the multiple set types made by the all size classes of purse-seine vessels, we needed to make the precautionary assumption that  $\text{PCM} > 0\%$  for each fishery. PCM estimates for all fisheries are described in detail below; and Table 3 details each parameter value used in each scenario.

## 1.2. Using susceptibility estimates to calculate the instantaneous fishing mortality rate ( $F$ )

Following the estimation of the overall susceptibility of length class  $j$  to incurring mortality from fishery  $x$  ( $S_{xj}$ ), a proxy for the instantaneous fishing mortality rate in 2019 ( $\tilde{F}_{2019}$ ) for leatherback turtles caught by all fisheries was estimated as:

$$\tilde{F}_{2019} = -\ln \left[ 1 - \sum_{x=1} q_x E_x \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n S_{xj}}{n} \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Here,  $n$  is the number of length classes (in 2-cm increments) extending to the average length at which a leatherback turtle may grow if it were to live indefinitely ( $L_\infty$ ). Fishing effort ( $E_x$ ) is total effort, scaled from zero to 1, of fishery  $x$  applied in area  $G_x$  in 2019, while the catchability coefficient ( $q_x$ ) is the fraction of the stock that is caught by one unit of effort ( $E_x$ ) in fishery  $x$ . In many data-limited fisheries values for  $q$  and  $E$  are unknown. A precautionary approach was used to assume both parameters are equal to 1, meaning all leatherback turtles in a grid cell are caught if all other susceptibility parameters are fully realised.

$\tilde{F}_{2019}$  was then compared with values for  $F$  for the selected BRPs derived from the per-recruit models (described below; productivity parameters presented in Table 2). However, it needs to be reiterated that, because of the several conservative assumptions and likely uncertainty in the parameters used in deriving the  $\tilde{F}_{2019}$  estimate, it should only be considered a proxy for  $F$ . It is for this reason that the results from EASI-Fish should not be used to define the status of a species' population, *sensu* a stock assessment.

## 1.3. Characterising leatherback turtle productivity using per-recruit models

A yield-per-recruit (YPR) model was used to characterise the biological dynamics of leatherback turtles using the generic approach of Ricker (1975), which Chen and Gordon (1997) adapted for lengths as:

$$YPR = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{W_j b_j F}{b_j F + M} \left[ 1 - e^{-(b_j F + M) \Delta T_j} \right] e^{-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (b_k F + M) \Delta T_k} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

Here, new recruits and fully recruited length classes are denoted by the subscripts  $j$  and  $k$ , respectively.  $W_j$  is the mean weight of a turtle in length class  $j$ , while selectivity ( $b_j$ ) is the proportion of the population in length class  $j$  that is caught across all fisheries, represented as:

$$b_j = \sum_{x=1}^n S_{xj} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

Length-specific estimates of the instantaneous natural mortality rate ( $M$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) were taken from concurrent long-term studies of leatherback turtles returning to nesting sites in Mexico and Costa Rica (Laúd OPO Network 2020) (Table 4). These were 0.53–0.69 yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.937 yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.5 yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.212–0.295 yr<sup>-1</sup> for size classes 0–5 cm, 5–40 cm, 40–100 cm, and >100 cm, respectively. Value ranges for  $M$  were assumed to be equally plausible and so uniform distribution priors was used for  $M$ .  $F$  was disaggregated

into increments of 0.01 from zero to an  $L_\infty$  value of 147.6 cm (Zug & Parham 1996). The parameter  $\Delta T$  represents the time taken for a turtle to grow from one length class to the next, represented as:

$$\Delta T_j = \frac{1}{K} \ln \frac{L_\infty - L_j}{L_\infty - L_j - d_j} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

where  $K$  and  $L_\infty$  are parameters from the von Bertalanffy growth function (Table 3), and  $d$  is the width of the length class, calculated as  $L_{j+1} - L_j$ .

The spawning stock biomass-per-recruit (SSB/R) model of Quinn and Deriso (1999)—herein termed breeding stock biomass-per-recruit (BSR) to be specific to turtle life histories—is complementary to YPR, and can be modified to suit the analysis of length rather than age classes and be represented as:

$$BSR = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j m_j \prod_{x=r}^{j-1} e^{-(b_j F + M)} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

where  $W_j$  is the mean weight of a leatherback turtle in length class  $j$  ( $L_j$ ) taken from a length-weight relationship (Table 3),  $m_j$  is the proportion of mature females at the mean length of length class  $j$ , and the product operator describes the number of turtles surviving from the length at recruitment ( $L_r$ ) to  $L_j$ . Because the model calculates relative BSR, the initial number of breeding females was set to a value of one. The value for  $m_j$  was taken from a female maturity ogive for leatherback turtles in the EPO (Avens et al. 2020), represented in the logistic form:

$$m_j = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(-r(L_j - L_{50}))}} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

where  $L_j$  is the mean length of a turtle in length class  $j$ ,  $L_{50}$  is the length at which 50% of the population is mature, and  $r$  is the curvature parameter.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Allman P, Ageykumhene A, Stemle L (2021) Gillnet illumination as an effective measure to reduce sea turtle bycatch. *Cons Bio* **35**: 967-975
- Avens L, Goshe LR, Zug GR, Balazs GH, Benson SR, Harris H. 2020. Regional comparison of leatherback sea turtle maturation attributes and reproductive longevity. *Marine Biology* **167**:4.
- Chen Y, Gordon GNG. 1997. Assessing discarding at sea using a length-structured yield-per-recruit model. *Fisheries Research* **30**:43-55.
- Coelho R, Fernandez-Carvalho J, Lino PG, Santos MN. 2012. An overview of the hooking mortality of elasmobranchs caught in a swordfish pelagic longline fishery in the Atlantic Ocean. *Aquatic Living Resources* **25**:311-319.
- Cortés E, Brooks EN. 2018. Stock status and reference points for sharks using data-limited methods and life history. *Fish and Fisheries* **19**:1110-1129.
- Couturier LIE, Marshall AD, Jaine FRA, Kashiwagi T, Pierce SJ, Townsend KA, Weeks SJ, Bennett MB, Richardson AJ. 2012. Biology, ecology and conservation of the Mobulidae. *Journal of Fish Biology* **80**:1075-1119.
- Cuevas-Zimbrón E, Sosa-Nishizaki O, Pérez-Jiménez JC, O'Sullivan JB. 2013. An analysis of the feasibility of using caudal vertebrae for ageing the spinetail devilray, *Mobula japonica* (Müller and Henle, 1841). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **96**:907-914.
- Gabriel WL, Mace PM. 1999. A review of biological reference points in the context of the precautionary approach. Pages 34–45 in Restrepo VR, editor. *Proceedings of the Fifth National NMFS Stock Assessment Workshop: Providing Scientific Advice to Implement the Precautionary Approach Under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act*. U.S. Department of Commerce, Silver Spring, MD.
- Gallaway BJ, Gazey WJ, Wibbels T, Bevan E, Shaver DJ, George J. 2016. Evaluation of the status of the Kemp's ridley sea turtle after the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Gulf of Mexico Science* **33**:6.
- Griffiths SP, Kesner-Reyes K, Garilao C, Duffy LM, Román MH. 2019. Ecological Assessment of the Sustainable Impacts of Fisheries (EASI-Fish): a flexible vulnerability assessment approach to quantify the cumulative impacts of fishing in data-limited settings. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **625**:89-113.
- Hoenig JM. 1983. Empirical use of longevity data to estimate mortality rates. *Fishery Bulletin* **81**:898-903.
- Jensen AL. 1996. Beverton and Holt life history invariants result from optimal trade-off of reproduction and survival. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **53**:820-822.
- Laúd OPO Network. 2020. Enhanced, coordinated conservation efforts required to avoid extinction of critically endangered eastern Pacific leatherback turtles. *Scientific Reports* **10**:4772.
- Lee H-H, Maunder MN, Piner KR, Methot RD. 2012. Can steepness of the stock-recruitment relationship be estimated in fishery stock assessment models? *Fisheries Research* **125**:254-261.
- Lopez J, Griffiths, SP, Wallace, B, Caceres, V, Bustos, LC, Cucas, L, Vega, R, Zárate, P, Clavijo, L, Cari, I, Rodriguez-Baron, JM, Carvajal, JM, Piedra, R, Andraka, S, Rendón, L, Herrera, M, Suárez, J, Santana, H, Abrego, M, Veelenturf, C, Quiñones, J, Perez, M, Alfaro, J, Mangel, J, De Paz, N et

- al. (In Press). A machine learning species distribution model for the critically endangered east Pacific leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). *Endang. Species Res.*
- Mas F, Forselledo R, Domingo A. 2015. Mobulid ray by-catch in longline fisheries in the south-western Atlantic Ocean. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **66**:767-777.
- Notarbartolo-di-Sciara G. 1988. Natural history of the rays of the genus *Mobula* in the Gulf of California. *Fishery Bulletin* **86**:45-66.
- Pauly D. 1980. On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. *Journal du Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer* **39**:175-192.
- Quinn TJ, Deriso RB 1999. Quantitative fish dynamics. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Ralston S. 2002. West coast groundfish harvest policy. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* **22**:249-250.
- Ricker WE. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. *Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* **191**:1-382.
- White WT, Giles J, Potter IC. 2006. Data on the bycatch fishery and reproductive biology of mobulid rays (Myliobatiformes) in Indonesia. *Fisheries Research* **82**:65-73.
- Zug GR, Parham JF. 1996. Age and growth in leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea* (Testudines: Dermochelyidae): a skeletochronological analysis. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* **2**:244-249.

**Table S1.** Data sources and period of coverage of fishing effort data used to define the spatial distribution of effort by each fishery in the EPO. Data sources with an asterisk (\*) contained fishing effort distribution maps that were manually geo-referenced and the locations of each fishing event attributed to an appropriate grid cell to indicate presence of fishing.

Fishery	Country	Year	Data resolution	Comments and data source
<b>Industrial fisheries</b>				
Longline	IATTC Convention Area	2018	Monthly aggregates of number of hooks deployed at 5°x5° resolution (reports by CPCs); positional set data downscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution (observer data).	Unpublished data from logbooks and national observer programs submitted to the IATTC.
	Mexico (Pacific Ocean and Gulf of California)	2006–2009; 2006–2013; 2009–2012; 2018	Positional set data upscaled to 5°x5° resolution to enable incorporation with LSTLFVs.	Castillo-Geniz <i>et al.</i> (2016)*; Castillo-Geniz <i>et al.</i> (2017)*; Carreón-Zapiain <i>et al.</i> (2018)*; Pacific Large Pelagics Program, INAPESCA*.
	Mexico (Central Pacific coast)	2003–2011	Positional set data upscaled to 5°x5° resolution to enable incorporation with LSTLFVs.	Hernández and Valdez Flores (2016)*
Purse-seine (Class 6 - all set types)	IATTC Convention Area	2018	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Unpublished data collected by the AIDCP and National observer programs and held by the IATTC.
Purse-seine (Class 1–5 - all set types)	IATTC Convention Area	2018	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Unpublished data collected by TUNACONS observer program and IATTC staff at landing ports (logbooks).
<b>Artisanal fisheries</b>				
Surface-set gillnet	Chile (Northern and Central)	2016	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Martínez <i>et al.</i> (2017)*
	Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama	2018	Positions of access and unloading points allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Oliveros-Ramos <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	Mexico (Northwestern Gulf of California)	1998–1999	Positions of fishing camps allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Smith <i>et al.</i> (2009)*
	Mexico (Southwestern Gulf of California)	1998–1999	Positions of fishing camps allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Bizzarro <i>et al.</i> (2009a)*
	Mexico (Northeastern Gulf of California)	1998–1999	Positions of fishing camps allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Bizzarro <i>et al.</i> (2009b)*
	Mexico, Panama	2017–2018	Positions of fishing ports allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Ortíz-Álvarez <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Colombia	2016–2017	Positions of fishing ports allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Ortíz-Álvarez <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	Peru and Chile	2005–2007;	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Alfaro-Shigueto <i>et al.</i> (2011)*
	Peru	2007	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Ayala <i>et al.</i> (2008)*
	Chile (Northern and Central)	2001–2005; 2016	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Donoso and Dutton (2010); Martínez <i>et al.</i> (2017)*
Surface-set longline	Chile (Southern)	2002	Positional set data upscaled to 1°x1° resolution.	Moreno <i>et al.</i> (2006)*
	Chile and Peru	2005–2010	Annual aggregates of number of sets at 1°x1° resolution.	Doherty <i>et al.</i> (2014)*
	Ecuador	2008–2012	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Martínez-Ortiz <i>et al.</i> (2015)*
	Ecuador, Panama, Costa Rica	2004–2010	Positional set data upscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Unpublished IATTC and INCOPESCA observer data.
	Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama	2018	Positions of access and unloading points allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Oliveros-Ramos <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	Mexico (Western Sea of Cortez)	1998–1999	Positions of fishing camps allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Bizzarro <i>et al.</i> (2009a)*
	Mexico (Northeastern Gulf of California)	1998–1999	Positions of fishing camps allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Bizzarro <i>et al.</i> (2009b)*
	Mexico, Panama	2017–2018	Positions of fishing ports allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid	Ortíz-Álvarez <i>et al.</i> (2020)

Fishery	Country	Year	Data resolution	Comments and data source
<b>Industrial fisheries</b>				
			cells	
	Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Colombia	2016–2017	Positions of fishing ports allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	Ortíz-Álvarez <i>et al.</i> (2020)
Egg collection	Peru	2004–2006; 2007	Positional set data downscaled to 0.5°x0.5° resolution.	Ayala <i>et al.</i> (2008)*; Alfaro-Shigueto <i>et al.</i> (2011)*
	Costa Rica	1995–2006	Nest positions allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	La Red de la Conservación de la Tortuga Laúd del Océano Pacífico Oriental; Troëng <i>et al.</i> (2007)*
	Mexico	1982–2004	Nest positions allocated to adjacent 0.5°x0.5° grid cells	La Red de la Conservación de la Tortuga Laúd del Océano Pacífico Oriental; Sarti Martínez <i>et al.</i> (2007)*

**Table S2.** Summary table of 71 hypothetical scenarios to evaluate the potential efficacy of implementing various CMMs on reducing EP leatherback vulnerability. EASI-Fish parameters marked with “X” or “XX” are those affected by one or two CMMs, respectively, in each scenario. See Methods for more details about each parameter and estimated efficacy of each CMM.

CMM SCENARIO	Scenario number	Industrial longline				Purse seine				Small-scale longlines				Small-scale drift gillnets			
		Duration of fishing season (Dx)	contact selectivity (Cxj)	at-vessel mortality (AVM)	post-release mortality (PRM)	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	contact selectivity (Cxj)	at-vessel mortality (AVM)	post-release mortality (PRM)	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	contact selectivity (Cxj)	at-vessel mortality (AVM)	post-release mortality (PRM)	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	contact selectivity (Cxj)	at-vessel mortality (AVM)	post-release mortality (PRM)
Baseline EASI-Fish values	0																
STATUS QUO	1																
Circle hooks, industrial longlines	2-4		X														
Circle hooks, all longlines	5-7		X									X					
Finfish bait, industrial longlines	8-10		X														
Finfish bait, all longlines	11-13		X									X					
Best handling practices, industrial longlines	14-16				X												
Best handling practices, all longlines	17-19				X									X			
Best handling practices, all IATTC fisheries	20-22				X					X							
Best handling practices, all fisheries	23-25				X					X				X			X
Circle hooks + finfish bait, industrial longlines	26-28		XX														
Circle hooks + finfish bait, all longlines	29-31		XX									XX					
Circle hooks + best practices, industrial longlines	32-34		X		X												
Circle hooks + best practices, all longlines	35-37		X		X						X			X			
Circle hooks + finfish bait + best practices, industrial longlines	38-40		XX		X												
Circle hooks + finfish bait +	41-43		XX		X						XX			X			

best practices, all longlines																			
Circle hooks + finfish bait + best practices, all fisheries	44-46		XX		X				X		XX		X						X
Finfish bait + best practices, industrial longlines	47-49		X		X														
Finfish bait + best practices, all longlines	50-52		X		X						X		X						
Illuminated gillnets	53-55															X			
Illuminated gillnets + best handling practices	56-58															X			X
Circle hooks + finfish bait + illuminated gillnets + best practices, all fisheries	59-61		XX		X				X		XX		X						X
Purse seine closures (62: 60d, 63: 90d, 64: 120d, 65: 150d, 66: 180d)	62-66					X													
Industrial fisheries closures (67: 60d, 68: 90d, 69: 120d, 70: 150d, 71: 180d)	67-71	X				X													

**Table S3.** Raw inputs for all scenarios used in EASI-Fish vulnerability assessment of EP leatherback turtles. Scenario 1 contains the ‘*status quo*’ values for susceptibility parameters for each fishery category included in the analysis. All subsequent scenarios include adjustment of some parameter value or values based on theoretical application of some conservation management measure (CMM). Cells shaded blue highlight specific parameter values that are adjusted relative to *status quo* in each CMM scenario. See Methods for definitions of parameter values.

SCENARIO				At-vessel mortality (AVM) values						Post-release mortality (PRM) values				
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)	preferred	min	max	preferred	min	max
1	Baseline values + realistic post-interaction mortality	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
2	circle hooks in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.308	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
3	circle hooks in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
4	circle hooks in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
5	circle hooks in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.308	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.411	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
6	circle hooks in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
7	circle hooks in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
8	fish bait in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
9	fish bait in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
10	fish bait in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.9	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
11	fish bait in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400
12		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500

SCENARIO						At-vessel mortality (AVM) values						Post-release mortality (PRM) values			
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)		min	max	preferred	min	max	
								1	0.5						
13	fish bait in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
14	best handling practices in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.9	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
15	best handling practices in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
16	best handling practices in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
17	best handling practices in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
18	best handling practices in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
19	best handling practices in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
20	best handling practices in IATTC fisheries (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
21	best handling practices in IATTC fisheries (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.005	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
22	best handling practices in IATTC fisheries (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.020	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
23	best handling practices in all fisheries (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.010	
24	best handling practices in all fisheries (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.250	0.100	0.300	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
25	best handling practices in all fisheries (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.005	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.450	0.180	0.540	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	

SCENARIO				At-vessel mortality (AVM) values								Post-release mortality (PRM) values			
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)	preferred	min	max	preferred	min	max	
26	circle hooks + fish bait in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
27	circle hooks + fish bait in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
28	circle hooks + fish bait in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
29	circle hooks + fish bait in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.4	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
30	circle hooks + fish bait in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
31	circle hooks + fish bait in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
32	circle hooks + best practices in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.308	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
33	circle hooks + best practices in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
34	circle hooks + best practices in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
35	circle hooks + best practices in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.308	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.411	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
36	circle hooks + best practices in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
37	circle hooks + best practices in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.8	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
38	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
39	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	

SCENARIO						At-vessel mortality (AVM) values						Post-release mortality (PRM) values			
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)	preferred	min	max	preferred	min	max	
	small-scale longline		1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
40	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
41	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.4	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
42	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
43	circle hooks + fish bait+ best handling practices in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
44	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in all fisheries (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.010	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.375	0.150	0.450	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.4	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
45	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in all fisheries (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.005	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.250	0.100	0.300	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
46	circle hooks + fish bait + best handling practices in all fisheries (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.020	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.450	0.180	0.540	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
47	fish bait + best practices in IATTC longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
48	fish bait + best practices in IATTC longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
49	fish bait + best practices in IATTC longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.9	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
50	fish bait + best practices in all longlines (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.656	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
51	fish bait + best practices in all longlines (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
52	fish bait + best practices in all longlines (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.9	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.9	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
53	illuminated gillnets (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	

SCENARIO				At-vessel mortality (AVM) values								Post-release mortality (PRM) values			
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)	preferred	min	max	preferred	min	max	
54	illuminated gillnets (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
55	illuminated gillnets (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
56	illumination + best practices in gillnets (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.375	0.150	0.450	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
57	illumination + best practices in gillnets (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.250	0.100	0.300	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
58	illumination + best practices in gillnets (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.450	0.180	0.540	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.287	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.225	0.075	0.375	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
59	circle hooks + fish bait + illuminated nets + best handling practices in all fisheries (INTERMEDIATE EFFICACY)	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.5	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.375	0.150	0.450	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.4	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.063	0.025	0.100	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.150	0.050	0.250	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.005	
60	circle hooks + fish bait + illuminated nets + best handling practices in all fisheries (BEST-CASE [MAX EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.250	0.100	0.300	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.013	0.005	0.020	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.6	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.270	0.090	0.450	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.020	
61	circle hooks + fish bait + illuminated nets + best handling practices in all fisheries (WORST-CASE [MIN EFFICACY])	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.450	0.180	0.540	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.005	
62	purse seine closure 60d	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.250	0.100	0.300	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
63	purse seine closure 90d	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.450	0.180	0.540	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.7	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.125	0.050	0.200	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.75	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
64	purse seine closure 120d	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.67	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
65	purse seine closure 150d	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.6	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
66	purse seine closure 180d	small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	0.2	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
		purse seine	0.5	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
67	industrial fisheries closure 60d	longlines	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	

SCENARIO				At-vessel mortality (AVM) values								Post-release mortality (PRM) values			
Num	Description	Fishery	Duration of fishing season (Dx)	Seasonal availability (Axj)	length class susceptible to fishing mortality (j)	encounterability (Nxj)	effective depth range	contact selectivity (Cxj)	preferred	min	max	preferred	min	max	
68	industrial fisheries closure 90d	purse seine	0.83	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	0.75	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
69	industrial fisheries closure 120d	purse seine	0.75	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	0.67	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
70	industrial fisheries closure 150d	purse seine	0.67	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	
		longlines	0.6	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.300	0.100	0.500	
71	industrial fisheries closure 180d	purse seine	0.6	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.050	0.010	0.100	
		small-scale driftnet	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.500	0.200	0.800	0.500	0.200	0.600	
		small-scale longline	1	1	>90 cm (CCL)	1	0-300	1	0.010	0.001	0.015	0.250	0.100	0.400	